GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Old Scott. H-28, New Scott. II-14—
71,008—28-1-55.

Public Affairs (1955) Department.

Endorsement
No.
Memorandum
Letter

No. 1986 Public/55-2

Dated 12.11.55 195 .

Received
Replied

FURTHER PAPERS.

Department.	Number.	Series.	Date.

Ref. 2067/54.F.

Project Executive Office,S.K.,
South Kanara, Mangalore.
Dated 12--3--1955.

From
Sri. H. Narasimha,
Project Executive Officer,
South Kanara, Mangalore.

To
The Director of Community
Development, MADRAS.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
17 MAR 1955
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Sir,

COMMUNITY PROJECT --South Kanara--Rural Housing ~~Scheme~~ Scheme--Grant of loans--Maximum limit of loan--Enhancement--Report--Submitted.

Ref: G.O.Ms.No.2405 Pub.RDP. Dept. Dt.4-12-1954.

Under the rules for grant of loans for Rural Housing communicated with G.O. cited above the maximum amount of loan that may be granted to a borrower is Rs.750/- for the construction of semi-permanent and permanent houses,. My enquiry in the villages and the enquiry made through the Asst. Project Officers reveal that the amount of Rs.750/- is quite inadequate for constructing an ordinary tiled house with 2 or 3 rooms and a varandah on stone foundation and with stone pillars and mud walls and that the minimum expenditure for such a house is Rs. 1500/-. In order to attract more applicants and to make the scheme really useful to the people it is found necessary that the maximum amount that can be advanced to a borrower for the construction of permanent and semi-permanent houses is raised to Rs. 1000/-. The allotment sanctioned under the scheme is Rs. 2 lakhs (Vide G.O.cited and Govt. Memo No.3730 Pub. RDP. V/54/5, Dt. 3-2-1955). Loans will be granted on adequate security. Under the circumstances stated above, I submit that the maximum limit of loan amount that can be advanced for the construction of a permanent or semipermanent house may be raised to Rs.1000/- in respect of this Project Area. I also submit that the sanctioning power of the Project Executive Officer, South Kanara may also be raised to Rs.1000/- .

I request the favour of early orders.

Yours faithfully,

Project Executive Officer. 15/3

Copy to Asst.Project Officers, for information.

CENTRAL DESPATCH BRANCH
JC/14-3-55
30/3/-
Despatcher's Initials

3/ 15/3

Pub(RDP II)

E. No. No 1986 Pub(RDP II)/55-1 dt-29/3/55

Referred to the Board of Revenue (L.R) for urgent remarks

28/3/55

29/3/55

To
The Board
Of Rev.(L.R)

15.4.55

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Subject:

COMMUNITY PROJECTS AND NATIONAL EXTENSION SCHEME - Rural housing - further instructions - remarks submitted.

Routine No.2896 Station: M a d r a s. Dated 9-6-55.

From

Sri W.R.S.Satthianathan, I.C.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue and Development.

Sri A. Somasundaram, B.A., Additional Secretary, Board of Revenue (L.R).

...

Read: Govt.Endt.No.1982 Public (RDP)V-55-1 d/10-3-55.
1986 do. 29-3-55.
Govt.Memo No.1428 do. -2 d 1-4-55.

...

RESOLUTION:

The Board submits the following remarks in respect of the points raised by the Block Development Officers and Project Executive Officers in their references enclsoed to Government Endorsement and Memo cited above:-

(1) Whether the date for the repayment of each annual instalment of principal and interest shall be the date of payment of land revenue of the district and whether interim period, as contemplated in rule VI of the Madras Land Improvement and Agriculturists Loan (General) Rules could be allowed and interim interest collected for that period:

In fixing the date of repayment of instalments and in collecting interest for the interim period, Rule VI of the Madras Land Improvement and Agriculturists Loan(General) Rules may be followed by the Community Project and National Extension Scheme Officers.

(2) Whether the work done for the loans already advanced may be got valued by the Special Revenue Inspector(loans) employed in the Block with reference to para 13 of G.O.Mis. 2405 Public (RDP) dated 4-12-54:

It is desirable that the value is estimated by a technically trained man not below the rank of a Minor Irrigation Overseer or an officer of equivalent status.

(3) Whether the value of security may be estimated every time an instalment is paid and whether the instalment previously paid to a borrower can be taken into consideration in estimating the value of the security:

The rules do not contemplate the sanction of loans piecemeal. The suggestion of the Block Development Officer Gandhigram to sanction the loan in instalments as and when work is contemplated in stages is not in accordance with the rules and that it is not feasible.

(4) Whether the Block Development Officer may be authorised to sanction loans upto Rs.750/- and enquiries in respect of applications for loans exceeding Rs.500/- may be made by Revenue Inspector or Gramasevaks or Extension Officers(Co-o instead of Block Develo ment Officers as contemplated in R of the G.O.

The Board agrees that Block Development Officers ma empowered to sanction loans upto Rs.750/-. As regards enq proper enquiry is essential before a loan is sanctioned it is not desirable to effect any change in regard t Officers competent to enquire.

4013
11 JUN1955

(5) Where the borrowers are not able to produce document of evidence of ownership of the site on which they want to construct their house, whether the loans may be sanctioned on the strength of statements of village officers and other villagers regarding the enjoyment and ownership of the site:

The procedure may be followed but it is essential that the officer sanctioning the loan should be satisfied regarding the ownership of the site before the loan is sanctioned and the officer so sanctioning should take the responsiblity for his decision.

(6) Whether rule IX of the Madras Land Improvement and Agriculturists Loan(General) Rules may be made applicable for conducting local enquiry on house site applications:

The suggestion may be accepted.

(7) Whether Rule XIV of the same rules may be made applicable to the grant of loans in proprietary villages:

The suggestion may be accepted.

(8) Whether B.P.Mis.526 dated 11-5-53 and the questionnaire form suggested therein and approved in G.O.Mis.517 Revenue dated 23-2-55 may be made applicable for sanction of loans under "Rural Housing" in estage villages:

The suggestion may be accepted.

(9) Whether the sanctioning power of Project Executive Officers may be raised to Rs.1000/- and the maximum loan admissible to an individual may be raised to Rs.1000/- instead of Rs.750/-.

Attention is invited to the Boar's remarks in B.P.Mis.1189 dated 15-6-54 on rule 3. No increase is necessary if the amount (Rs.750) had been fixed with reference to an approved type design.

/true extract/

for Addl.Secretary. 10.6.55

To

The Chief Secretary to Government Public (R.D.P)II Department.

PLG/9/6.

Pub(RDP II

1986

Memo No (1428) Pub(RDP II)/55-5dt 20/7

CPS and NES - Rural Housing - Rules and Instructions

From the Bd of Rev Roorkee
No 2496 dt 9-6-55

— + * —

The Bd of Rev(L.R) is requested to send urgently a copy of + B.P. Mis 1189 dt 15-6-54 referred to in its proceedings cited, as it has not been received here

20/7/55

To

The Bd of Rev (L.R)

CENTRAL DESPATCH BRANCH
Ver.
Test.
Dated ⊕ L.R. 22/7/55

⊕ Govt Endt at 4-9

Note: Please see the memo at p7 of and the report of the Bd of Rev thereon at pp17-18 of. The Board's proceedings referred to at 'X' on p18 of has not been received here. A copy of it may be called for. A draft memo is submitted for approval.

Board has sent one combined report (vide pp 17-18 ante) w.r. to the Govt Endtt No 1982 Pub RDP V/55-1 dt 10-3-55, the Govt Endtt No 1986 Pub RDP II/55-1 dt 29-3-55 (vide p 4-A ante) and the Govt Memo No 1428 Pub (RDP II)/55-2 dt 1-4-55 (vide p 7 ante). Pub (RDP V) may be requested to take extracts of the portions relating to them in the Board's report at pp 17-18 ante (pl see 'A' above).

14/7/55
16/7/55

A

Whp so much delay in calling for a copy? The c.f. has got even been referred to in the Endtns quoted & also have been communicated. The position of this should be got satisfactorily.

20/7/55

A Note up chwp is it desirable to circulate like this? Section concerned should comment.

14-8/II/55

The RDP V since the Bd's letter has/sent a copy of its report on pp 17-18 ante in the Endtns 1982 RDP V/55 dt 10-3-55. no action seems necessary.

16/7/55

'A' above
Answered
Separately in Portnote Note.
2-8-7-55

Pub(RDP II)

Memo No 1986 Pub(RDP II)/55-6 dt 23/

CPS and N.E.S - Rural Housing — Rules and Instructions

—

Govt Memo No 1428 Pub(RDP II)/55-5 dt 20-7-55

— x —

The Bd of Revenue requested to state when the copy of reference called for in the Govt memo cited may be expected.

22/8/55

23/8/55

To The Bd of Rev (L.R)

CENTRAL DESPATCH BRANCH

Regd. Post. / Post. / Messenger.

Despatched by

24/8

Despatcher's Initials.

195

Revenue Department.

Subject:- Community Projects and National Extension Service Rural Housing - Rules and instructions-

---

L.Dis.J. 8408/55

Madras. dated 13-9-55.

From M.V. Subramaniam, I.C.S., Commr. of Land Revenue & Development.

Sri A. Somasundaram, B.A., Addl.Secretary, Board of Revenue, Madras.

Read:- Government Memo. No.1986 Public RDP.II/55-6 dated 23-8-55.

L.Dis.

7146 The Board submits that the report called for by the Government in their Memo. above has already been submitted in Board's L.Dis.J.7143/55 dated 29-7-55. However a copy of it is enclosed.

for Addl. Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Public (Rural DEv. Projects) Dept.

(with a copy of Board's L.Dis.)

Copy of Board's L.Dis.J. 7143/55 dated 29-7-55:.

Read:- Govt. Memo.No.1428-RDP.II/55-5 Public d/ 20-7-55.

L.Dis.

The Board submits that the correct number and date of the B.P. referred to in its Rt.2896 dated 9-6-55 is

Mis.1189 dated 15-10-54. Orders on the B.P. were passed in G.O.Ms.2405 Public (R.D.Projects) dated 4-12-54.

(sd) E.S.Sivasubramani

Asst.Secretary.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, Public (R.D.P.)

(True copy)

E.S. Sivasubramaniam
for Addl. Secretary. 15.9.55

amk.18/9

4 — Pub (Rs)

Memo no 1986 Pub Rs P V/55-7 dt 12/11

Sub: Community Projects
— Rural Housing
— Maximum limit of loan — Enhancement
— Proposal — Negative.

Ref: From the P. E.O. Mangalore
Ref no 20 67/54 F dt 12/11/55

W.r.c this request for raising the limit of Rs 750/- fixed as the upper limit for loans under Rural Housing. The P.E.O. is informed that this request cannot be complied with. The limit of Rs 750/- has been fixed w.r.c orders of C.P.A.

2o The P.E.O. South Kanara Mangalore is informed that the maximum limit of Rs 750/- was prescribed by the C.P.A. and it can not therefore be raised.

The P.E.O. Mangalore
Dt: 15/11/55

Received 17 NOV 1955 Initials
Community Projects Branch
Mangalore

10/11/55

10/11/55

Pub(R I

Note file

Community Project - South Kanara - Rural Housing - Rules and Instructions - Maximum limit of loan - Enhancement - Proposal

GoMs No 1405 Pub(R.D.P) dt 4-12-54
From the P.E.O, Mangalore
Ref 2067/RF dt 12-3-55
Govt Endt No 1986 Pub(RDP II)/55-1
dated 29/3/55
From the Bd of Rev (L.R.)
Routine No 2496 dt 9-6-55
From the Bd of Rev (L.R.) LD:1
J 8408/55 dt 13-9-55

— x —

T.O.A.S

Please see the reference from the P.E.O Mangalore at P.1 ante, ~~the Govt Endt thereon~~ and the Bd's remarks thereon at x on P.4 CF ~~at pages 3 & 4 ante~~.

2. The P.E.O Mangalore suggested that in order to attract more applicants and to make the Rural Housing Scheme, sanctioned in the Govt. cited, really useful to the people the maximum amount that can be advanced to a borrower for the construction of

permanent and Semipermanent houses might be raised from the present limit of Rs 750/ to Rs 1000/. He also suggested that the Sanctioning power of the Project Executive officer might be raised from Rs 750/ to Rs 1000/. The Suggestion of the P.E.O Mangalore was referred to the Bd of Rev (L.T) for remarks. The Bd has invited the attention of the Govt to its remarks in B.P. Mis 1189 dt 15-10-54 and has stated that no increase is necessary if the amount (Rs 750) has been fixed w.r. to an approved type design. The relevant extract of the Bd's remarks in which advice is sought ~~to be~~ will be found at 'A' on p.1. of (B). Kind attention is solicited to the office note and the orders thereon at pp 1-2 of (B). In the circumstances stated therein, it does not seem necessary to enhance either the maximum amount for ~~re~~ or the Sanctioning

power of the P.E.O from Rs 750/ to Rs 1000/. The P.E.O Mangalore may be informed accordingly. For orders.

3-10-55

4/10/S.S.

~~D.S/A.D.S~~

I would like to see the type designs referred to in the notes extracted at (B).

5.10.55

TO A S

With reference to 'i' above, kind attention is invited to the following:

Type A

General specification and Abstract Estimate } pp 43-47 of (K)

Blue Print Design — p. 123 of (K)

Type B

General specification and Abstract Estimate } pp 53-57 of (K)

Blue Print Design — p. 125 of (K)

11-10-55

12/10/S.S.

D.S

pp 43 to 47 of (K) removed

p 123 of (K) removed

pp 53 to 57 of (K) removed

p 125 of (K) removed

Notes at pages 1-3 above may be read.

We may tell the PEO that the limit of Rs 750 will not be raised. We may also tell him that this is the amount arrived at after consulting the Consulting Architect for Constructing type 'B' designs (giving the abstract of specifications) using Casurina timber for roof.

CPS
13/10/55

The limit of Rs 750/- was prescribed by CPA & there was no need to have referred the case to the Bd. (The concerned sections should be familiar with the backgrounds of each case) | B

The P.E.O may be informed that the limit of Rs 750/- cannot be raised. | A

HS
14/10/55

TOAS

With reference to 'A' above, a draft memo is submitted for approval.

14/11/55 CO/SR

14/11/55

(Issued as Memo No. 1986 RAP-55-7 dt 12.11.55)